



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

28

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/980,744	11/15/2001	Jack M. Birnbaum	GIC-576	7576

7590 12/29/2005

Barry R Lipsitz  
755 Main Street Bldg 8  
Monroe, CT 06468

EXAMINER

PRICE, NATHAN E

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2194

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/980,744	BIRNBAUM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nathan Price	2194	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 November 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 15 November 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)             | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)    | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

*WILLIAM THOMSON*  
SUPERVISOR OF PATENT EXAMINERS

### DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1 – 30 are pending.
2. The examiner acknowledges that the priority date of the application is 5/21/1999.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1 – 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thrift et al. (Thrift, Philip and Todd Killian "JTV – Java-enabled Television.").

5. As to claim 1, Thrift et al. disclose an apparatus for providing a software architecture for implementing a television subscriber terminal, comprising:

a computer readable medium having computer program code means  
(computer readable medium is inherent); and

means for executing said computer program code means to implement a layered software architecture including (execution of computer program code is inherent):

Art Unit: 2194

a first layer supporting hardware of the terminal (section 2 first full paragraph lines 1 – 2);

a second layer comprising at least one device driver (section 2 first full paragraph lines 1 – 2);

a third layer comprising an interface for the at least one device driver (section 2 first full paragraph lines 1 – 3, there must be an interface to allow the JVM to use the hardware);

a fourth layer comprising core system software for providing television functions (section 2 first full paragraph lines 3 – 4);

said fourth layer also including a kernel abstraction function which, together with said third layer, enables said core system software to operate in different operating environments (section 2 first full paragraph lines 1 – 2);

a fifth layer for middleware porting (Figure 2 and section 3 paragraph 1);

an operating system kernel at said fourth and fifth layers (section 2 first full paragraph lines 1 – 2); and

a sixth layer providing middleware to interface with at least one application program (Figure 2; section 3 paragraph 1 and list).

6. As to claim 2, the apparatus of claim 1 is rejected for the reasons above. Thrift et al. also disclose that an operating environment comprises said middleware, middleware porting (section 5 paragraph 1), and operating system kernel (section 2 first full paragraph lines 1 – 2).

Art Unit: 2194

7. As to claim 3, the apparatus of claim 1 is rejected for the reasons above.

Thrift et al. also disclose that an operating environment comprises said middleware, middleware porting (section 5 paragraph 1), operating system kernel, and device driver (section 2 first full paragraph lines 1 – 2).

8. As to claim 4, the apparatus of claim 1 is rejected for the reasons above.

Thrift et al. also disclose that an operating environment comprises said middleware, middleware porting (section 5 paragraph 1), operating system kernel, device driver (section 2 first full paragraph lines 1 – 2), and application program (section 5 paragraph 1).

9. As to claim 5, the apparatus of claim 1 is rejected for the reasons above.

Thrift et al. also disclose that the television subscriber terminal receives television signals via a broadband communication network (section 3 sentence 3).

10. As to claim 6, the apparatus of claim 1 is rejected for the reasons above.

Thrift et al. also disclose that the television functions include at least one of:

message reception and distribution;

system information processing;

terminal configuration;

terminal control message processing;

service acquisition;

conditional access control;

Art Unit: 2194

download capability;

return path communication ("backchannel data transfer" section 2 item 6 in list); and

diagnostic data management.

11. As to claim 7, the apparatus of claim 1 is rejected for the reasons above.

Thrift et al. also disclose that the television functions include conditional access services, including at least one of:

object authentication;

object authorization (section 5 last paragraph);

resource authentication;

baseline privacy key exchange services; and

cryptographic functions.

12. As to claim 8, the apparatus of claim 1 is rejected for the reasons above.

Thrift et al. also disclose that the kernel abstraction function provides kernel calls and kernel-specific translations for the operating system kernel (section 2 first full paragraph lines 1 – 2).

13. As to claim 9, the apparatus of claim 1 is rejected for the reasons above.

Thrift et al. also disclose that the kernel abstraction function isolates the core system software from portions of the operating system kernel that are specific to an operating environment (section 2 first full paragraph lines 1 – 2).

Art Unit: 2194

14. As to claim 10, the apparatus of claim 1 is rejected for the reasons above.

Thrift et al. also disclose that the interface for the at least one device driver isolates the core system software from portions of the device driver that are specific to an operating environment (section 2 first full paragraph lines 1 – 2).

15. As to claim 11, the apparatus of claim 1 is rejected for the reasons above.

Thrift et al. also disclose that the operating system kernel provides multithreading, real-time operating system support needed to operate the terminal (section 2 first full paragraph lines 1 – 2).

16. As to claim 12, the apparatus of claim 1 is rejected for the reasons above.

Thrift et al. also disclose that the operating system kernel provides facilities for the terminal that are specific to an operating environment, including at least one of: multi-tasking, inter-task communication, messaging, synchronization, memory management, and event management (section 2 first full paragraph lines 1 – 2, it is inherent that such an RTOS would provide some form of memory management).

17. As to claim 13, the apparatus of claim 1 is rejected for the reasons above.

Thrift et al. also disclose that the architecture further includes a software interface between the core system software and the fifth layer that enables said core

Art Unit: 2194

system software to operate in the different operating environments (section 5 paragraph 1).

18. As to claim 14, the apparatus of claim 13 is rejected for the reasons above. Thrift et al. also disclose that the software interface isolates the core system software from portions of the middleware and middleware porting that are specific to an operating system (section 5 paragraph 1).

19. As to claim 15, the apparatus of claim 13 is rejected for the reasons above. Thrift et al. also disclose that the software interface isolates the core system software from portions of the application program that are specific to an operating environment (section 5 paragraph 1).

20. Claims 16 – 30 are method claim equivalents to the apparatus claims 1 – 15. Therefore, claims 16 – 30 are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1 – 15, respectively.

### ***Conclusion***

21. The prior art made of record on the P.T.O. 892 has not been relied upon and is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Careful consideration of the cited art is required prior to responding to this Office Action, see 37 C.F.R.

1.111(c).



Art Unit: 2194

22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Price whose telephone number is (571) 272-4196. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am - 4:00pm, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Thomson can be reached on (571) 272-3718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nathan Price



WILLIAM THOMSON  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER